arXiv:1408.0552v3 [math.AG] 29 Jan 2016

On the Universal Scheme of r-relative clusters of a family

Pau Brustenga i Moncusí

February 1, 2016

Introduction

Kleiman introduced in (Kleiman, 1981) the iterated blowups, varieties X_r which naturally parametrize ordered clusters of r (possibly infinitely near) points of a variety X, for each r. These have proved to be very useful in enumerative geometry, and in other areas of algebraic geometry, especially in the case when X is a surface. See for instance (Kleiman, 1981), (Kleiman and Piene, 1999), (Roé, 2001a), (Roé, 2001b), (De Poi, 2003), (Kleiman and Piene, 2004), (Fernández de Bobadilla, 2005), (Ran, 2005) and (Alberich-Carramiñana and Roé, 2005).

Following the general philosophy that Grothendieck expounds in his Éléments de Géometrie Algébrique", given a scheme B, we generalise the concept of point cluster of a variety to the B-point cluster of B-variety $\mathcal{S}$, or relative clusters of a family of varieties $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$. We define schemes Cl_r that generalise the iterated blow ups in the sense that they naturally parametrize clusters of r B-points of a B-variety $\mathcal{S}$, or r-relative clusters of a family of varieties $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$, and we prove their existence if B is projective and $\mathcal{S}$ quasiprojective (Theorem 2.16).

Kleiman's varieties X_r can be identified as our Cl_r for the particular "absolute" case when $B = \operatorname{Spec} K$. In the case of surfaces (especially $\mathbb{P}^2$) these varieties have received a good deal of attention in the literature for themselves (i.e., not just for applications) see for instance (Harbourne, 1988), (Paxia, 1991) —who does not consider the possibility of infinitely near points — (Roé, 2004) or (Kleiman and Piene, 2011). Our approach, taking the point of view of universal families and representable functors, is closer in spirit to that of (Harbourne, 1988). The relations between Kleiman varieties and Hilbert schemes became a recurring theme which was clarified in (Kleiman and Piene, 2011); it is natural to hope that the analogous forgetful maps (eliminating the ordering of the B-points) from the universal schemes Cl_r will be useful in the study of the relative Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}_{\mathcal{S}/B}$. Harbourne goes even further in the case of $\mathbb{P}^2$, considering isomorphisms between fibers of the universal family and the corresponding moduli problem, which gives rise to a quotient stack. We do not deal with these issues here.

When B is regular an explicit construction of Cl_{r+1} is possible, in terms of iterated blow ups centred at suitable sheaves of ideals with support at a subscheme of $\mathrm{Cl}_r^2 := \mathrm{Cl}_r \times_{\mathrm{Cl}_{r-1}} \mathrm{Cl}_r$, which fails to be Cartier only along the diagonal. To this extent, the construction is indeed analogous the one in (Kleiman, 1981) for the absolute case, which works by iteratively blowing up along diagonals; but new phenomena appear in the relative case. To be precise, we show that there is an open subscheme $V \subseteq \mathrm{Cl}_{r+1}$, parametrizing the clusters of sections in which the image of the last section is not contained in the exceptional divisor of the blow up of Cl_r, and a stratification $\sqcup_{p\in P} \mathrm{Cl}^p \to \mathrm{Cl}_r^2$, by locally closed subschemes, such that V is isomorphic to a subset of strata $\sqcup_{p\in \mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p$ (Theorems 3.9 and 3.10). For some strata whose closure intersects the diagonal, the corresponding component of Cl_{r+1} is (an open subset of) a blowup as above; since strata are often singular along the diagonal, the centre of the blowup is not always uniquely determined (but the blowup map is uniquely determined). It also happens that infinitely near B-points exist, which are not limits of "ordinary" B-points.

In Section 4 we show a few simple examples illustrating these new phenomena, in the case of families of surfaces.

Acknowledgements

I thank my thesis advisor, Joaquim Roé, for his great support.

1 Preliminaries

On the whole article we fix an algebraically closed base field K, we consider all scheme a K-scheme and all morphism a morphism of K-schemes.

Let Y be a scheme and $y \in Y$ a point. We denote $k(y)$ the residue field of y and $\mathrm{em}_y : \mathrm{Spec}(k(y)) \to Y$ the embedding of y in Y. Given another scheme X, we denote X^y the product $\mathrm{Spec}(k(y)) \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(K)} X$. Given a morphism $f : X \to Y$ and a subscheme $W \subseteq Y$, we denote $f^{-1}(W)$ the schematic pre-image (i.e. the pull back by f of the inclusion $W \to Y$, which is a subscheme of X) and X_y the fibre of f at y (i.e. $X_y := f^{-1}(y)$).

Consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X' & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & Z' \end{array}$$

We call it a *Cartesian diagram* when both squares are Cartesian. We use the following fact without further reference: if the right hand square is Cartesian then the left hand square is Cartesian if and only if so is the big one obtained by composing the rows, see (Hartshorne, 1977, p.89).

Definition 1.1. We call a scheme which is a finite or countable disjoint union of quasiprojective schemes a *piecewise quasiprojective* scheme.

Lemma 1.2. *Given a separated morphism $\alpha : X \to Y$, any section $a : Y \to X$ of α is a closed embedding.*

Proof. It is straightforward to check that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{a} & X \\ {\scriptstyle a}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Id}_X \times_Y (a \circ \alpha)} \\ X & \xrightarrow[\Delta]{} & X \times_Y X \end{array}$$

is Cartesian, where Δ is the diagonal, which is a closed embedding by hypothesis. Therefore so is the morphism a. □

Lemma 1.3. *Given the following diagram where the square is Cartesian,*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X' & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Y' \\ & & {\scriptstyle g}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ Y & \xrightarrow[a]{} & X & \xrightarrow[\alpha]{} & Y \end{array}$$

if $a : Y \to X$ is a section of α then there is a unique section $b : Y' \to X'$ of β that makes the following diagram Cartesian.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \overset{b}{\dashrightarrow} & X' \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ Y & \xrightarrow[a]{} & X \end{array}$$

Proof. Consider the following Cartesian diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z & \longrightarrow & X' & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Y' \\ \downarrow & & {\scriptstyle g}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ Y & \xrightarrow[a]{} & X & \xrightarrow[\alpha]{} & Y \end{array}$$

Since the big square of the diagram is Cartesian, and obviously $Y' \cong Y \times_Y Y'$, we have $Z \cong Y'$ and the claim follows. □

We will use the above lemma to construct sections of a given morphism.

Remark 1.3.1. Under the conditions of Lemma 1.3, if the morphism α is separated then so is β and, by Lemma 1.2, the morphisms a and b are closed embeddings.

Definition 1.4. Let $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$ be a morphism. A *sections family* (or sf) of π is a couple (Y, ρ) consisting of a scheme Y and a morphism $\rho : Y \times B \to \mathcal{S}$ such that the composition $\pi \circ \rho$ is the projection over B.

Let (X, ψ) be an sf of π, we call (X, ψ) a *Universal sections family* (or Usf) of π if it satisfies the following universal property: for any sf (Y, ρ) of π there is a unique morphism $f : Y \to X$ such that $\rho = \psi \circ (f \times \mathrm{Id}_B)$.

If a Usf of a morphism $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$ exists, by abstract nonsense it is unique up to unique isomorphism.

Given a morphism $\pi:\mathcal{S}\to B$ there is the contravariant functor $S._:\mathrm{Sch}\to\mathrm{Set}$ corresponding to the parameter space problem of sections of π defined as follows. For every scheme Y, let

$$S.Y := \{\rho \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sch}}(Y\times B,\mathcal{S}) \text{ such that } (Y,\rho) \text{ is an sf of } \pi\}$$

and for every morphism $f:Y'\to Y$, let $S.f := (f\times \mathrm{Id}_B)^*$, see (Grothendieck, 1960, C.2.).

Definition 1.5. Given (Y,ρ) an sf of a morphism $\pi:\mathcal{S}\to B$ and $y\in Y$, slightly abusing language we call the morphism $\underline{y} := S.\mathrm{em}_y(\rho) : B^y \to \mathcal{S}$ the *section associated to* y.

If y is a closed point, since $k(y)\cong K$ the morphism $\underline{y}$ can be identified with a section of π.

Proposition 1.6. *A scheme X represents the functor $S._$ associated to a morphism $\pi:\mathcal{S}\to B$ if and only if X is the Usf of π.*

Proof. We just sketch the proof. If (X,ψ) is the Usf of π then we can construct a natural isomorphism $\mu : S._ \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sch}}(_,X)$ as follows. Given a scheme Y, for each $\rho\in S.Y$, by the universal property of (X,ψ), we define $\mu_Y(\rho)\in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sch}}(Y,X)$ as the unique morphism such that $\rho = S.(\mu_Y(\rho))(\psi)$.

If X represents $S._$, we fix a natural isomorphism $\eta:S._\to\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sch}}(_,X)$. If $\psi := \eta_X^{-1}(\mathrm{Id}_X)\in S.X$, then the couple (X,ψ) is the Usf of π. □

Corollary 1.6.1. *If the Usf (X,ψ) of a morphism $\pi:\mathcal{S}\to B$ exists, the map $\sigma\to\underline{\sigma}$ from the closed points of X to the set of sections of π is bijective.*

Theorem 1.7 (Grothendieck)**.** *Given a morphism $\pi:\mathcal{S}\to B$, if B is proper and $\mathcal{S}$ is piecewise quasiprojective, then the Usf (X,ψ) of π exists and the scheme X is piecewise quasiprojective.*

Proof. We consider the scheme $\mathcal{S}$ as the finite or countable disjoint union of quasiprojective schemes $\bigsqcup_{i\in I}\mathcal{S}_i$. For each $i\in I$ the Usf (X_i,ψ_i) of $\pi|_{\mathcal{S}_i}:\mathcal{S}_i\to B$ exists, see (Grothendieck, 1961, 4.c) and X_i is piecewise quasiprojective. So, $X := \bigsqcup_{i\in I} X_i$ and the morphism $\psi := \bigsqcup_{i\in I}\psi_i\circ\theta$ are the Usf of π, where θ is the isomorphism from $X\times B$ to $\bigsqcup_{i\in I}(X_i\times B)$. □

For an alternative exposition to (Grothendieck, 1961, 4.c), see (Nitsure, 2005, 2$^{\mathrm{nd}}$ ex. after Th.6.6).

The rest of this section is devoted to a general construction that appears on some proofs. It is not our main object of study.

Definition 1.8. Let W be a scheme. Let $\pi:\mathcal{S}\to B$ be a morphism with $\mathcal{S}$ a W-scheme and $\pi':\mathcal{S}\to W\times B$ the product morphism of $\pi:\mathcal{S}\to B$ and $\mathcal{S}\to W$. Given $Y\xrightarrow{f} W$ a W-scheme and a sf $\rho:Y\times B\to\mathcal{S}$ of π, we call the triplet (Y,ρ,f) a *W-split section family* (or W-ssf) of π' if the morphism ρ is a W-morphism. (Here B is not assumed to be a W-scheme, but $Y\times B$ is a W-scheme via the first projection.)

Let (X,α,g) be a W-ssf of π', we call (X,α,g) a *Universal W-split sections family* (or W-Ussf) of π' if it satisfies the following universal property: for any W-ssf (Y,ρ,f) of π' there is a unique morphism $G:Y\to X$ such that $\rho = \alpha\circ(G\times\mathrm{Id}_B)$.

It is straightforward to check that in this case the condition $f = g \circ G$ is satisfied. If a W-Ussf of π' exists, by abstract nonsense it is unique up to unique isomorphism.

Remark 1.8.1. In the Definition 1.8 the morphism ρ is a W-morphism if and only if $\pi' \circ \rho = f \times \mathrm{Id}_B$

Lemma 1.9. *Let W be a scheme. Let $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$ be a morphism with $\mathcal{S}$ a W-scheme and $\pi' : \mathcal{S} \to W \times B$ the product morphism of $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$ and $\mathcal{S} \to W$. If the Usf (X, ψ) of π and the Usf (BW, φ_W) of the projection $W \times B \to B$ exist, then the W-Ussf of π' exists too.*

Remark 1.9.1. The Usf (BW, φ_W) and the scheme of morphisms from B to W represent isomorphic functors, see (Grothendieck, 1960, C.2.) or (Grothendieck, 1961, 4.c). So its existence is equivalent, and if they exist they are isomorphic.

Proof. The couple $(X, \pi' \circ \psi)$ is an sf of the projection $W \times B \to B$. By the universal property of (BW, φ_W) there is a unique morphism $h : X \to BW$ such that $\pi' \circ \psi = \varphi_W \circ (h \times \mathrm{Id}_B)$.

The couple $(W, \mathrm{Id}_{(W \times B)})$ is an sf of $W \times B \to W$. By the universal property of (BW, φ_W) there is a unique morphism $i : W \to BW$ such that $\mathrm{Id}_{(W \times B)} = \varphi_W \circ (i \times \mathrm{Id}_B)$. Given a closed $t \in B$ we define the morphism $\lambda_t : BW \to W$ as the composition of the morphism $\varphi_W \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{BW} \times \mathrm{em}_t) : BW \cong BW \times k(t) \to W \times B$ with $W \times B \to W$. By definition $\lambda_t \circ i = \mathrm{Id}_W$, so i is a closed embedding, because it is a section of λ_t which is separated and surjective, and we consider W as a subscheme of BW.

Now, if $Z := h^{-1}(W)$, it is straightforward to check that the triplet $\left(Z, \psi|_{(Z \times B)}, h|_Z\right)$ is the W-Ussf of π'. □

Corollary 1.9.2. *If W and $\mathcal{S}$ are piecewise quasiprojective and B is projective then the W-Ussf (X, α, g) of π' exists and X is piecewise quasiprojective.*

Proof. The scheme $W \times B$ is piecewise quasiprojective. So by Theorem 1.7 the hypotheses of Lemma 1.9 are satisfied and the claim follows. □

Definition 1.10. Let W be a scheme. Let $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$ be a morphism with $\mathcal{S}$ a W-scheme and $\pi' : \mathcal{S} \to W \times B$ the product morphism of $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$ and $\mathcal{S} \to W$. Given (Y, ρ, f) a W-ssf of π' and $y \in Y$, we denote $\mathcal{S}_{f(y)}$ the fibre at $f(y) \in W$ of the morphism $\mathcal{S} \to W$. Then the morphism $\underline{y} : B^y \to \mathcal{S}$ has image contained in $\mathcal{S}_{f(y)}$ and we call its corestriction $\tilde{y} : B^y \to \mathcal{S}_{f(y)}$ the *W-split section associated to y*.

2 Clusters of sections

Notation 2.1. Let $a : Y \to X$ be a closed embedding corresponding to a finite type sheaf of ideals (this is always the case if a is a closed embedding and X is locally Noetherian). We denote $\mathrm{bl}_a : \mathrm{Bl}_a(X) \to X$ the blow up of X at $a(Y)$.

Definition 2.2. We call a *family* a separated surjective flat morphism $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$ of finite type where B is of finite type and irreducible and the generic fibre is integral.

For this section we fix a family $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$ and an integer $r \geq 0$.

Given a projective variety S, a *cluster* over S is a finite set of points $\mathcal{K}$ of S or of a finite sequence of point centred blow ups of S such that, for every $p \in \mathcal{K}$, if q is a point such that p is infinitely near to q, then $q \in \mathcal{K}$, see (Casas-Alvero, 2000). For each $r \geq 1$ there is a family of smooth projective varieties X_r which parametrizes ordered r-point clusters of S, see (Kleiman, 1981).

Let $\sigma : B \to \mathcal{S}$ be a section of $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$. Let $\tilde{\pi}$ be $\pi \circ \mathrm{bl}_{\underline{\sigma}}$ and E_σ be the exceptional divisor in $\mathrm{Bl}_{\underline{\sigma}}(\mathcal{S})$.

A section of $\tilde{\pi}$ whose image is contained in E_σ is said to belong to the *first infinitesimal neighbourhood* of the section σ. Inductively, for an integer $k > 0$ a section τ belongs to the $(k+1)$*-th infinitesimal neighbourhood* of σ if τ belongs to the first infinitesimal neighbourhood of a section in the k-th infinitesimal neighbourhood of σ. A section *infinitely near* to σ is a section in some infinitesimal neighbourhood of σ.

Lemma 2.3. *Let W be a ground scheme. Let $X \xrightarrow{f} W$ be a finitely presented W-scheme. If $Y \xrightarrow{i} X$ is a closed subscheme which is flat over W, then the blow up $\overline{X}$ of X at Y commutes with every base change $W' \to W$. Furthermore, if X is flat over W then so is $\overline{X}$*

Proof. Fix a base change $W' \to W$. Consider $q : X' \to X$ and $Y' \to Y$ the base change of X, Y and $i' : Y' \to X'$ the pull back of i. We call $\overline{X'}$ the blow up of X' at Y'.

Consider $\mathscr{I}$ the defining ideal sheaf of Y in X and $\mathscr{I}'$ the defining ideal sheaf of Y' in X' (i.e. the kernel of $\mathscr{O}_{X'} \to (i')_* \mathscr{O}_{Y'}$). There is a natural isomorphism (see (Vakil, 2015))

$$\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{P}roj\left(p^*\left(\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \mathscr{I}^n\right)\right) \cong \mathcal{P}roj\left(\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \mathscr{I}^n\right) \times_X X' = \overline{X} \times_W W'$$

The functor p^* commute with colimits, so $p^*\left(\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \mathscr{I}^n\right) = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} p^*\left(\mathscr{I}^n\right)$. Since Y is flat over W the ideal sheaf $\mathscr{I}'$ is $p^*(\mathscr{I})$, (Vakil, 2015) Then for each $n \geq 0$ $(\mathscr{I}')^n = (p^*(\mathscr{I}))^n$ but $(p^*(\mathscr{I}))^n = p^*(\mathscr{I}^n)$ (Vakil, 2015). Hence $\mathcal{X} = \overline{X'}$.

Now if X is flat over W the sheaf $\mathscr{I}$ is also flat over W. Since the sheaf $\mathscr{I}/\mathscr{I}^2 \cong \mathscr{I} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_X} \mathscr{O}_X/\mathscr{I}$ is flat over W, the sheaf $\mathscr{I}^2$ is flat over W and by induction so is $\mathscr{I}^n$ for all $n \geq 1$. □

Corollary 2.3.1. *Consider the following Cartesian diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{b} & X' & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Y' \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ Y & \xrightarrow[a]{} & X & \xrightarrow[\alpha]{} & Y \end{array}$$

Let $\alpha : X \to Y$ be a separated morphism and $a : Y \to X$ a section of α. By Lemma 1.2 the morphism a is a closed embedding. Assume that a corresponds to a finite type sheaf of ideals. Then β is separated and b is a closed embedding corresponding to a finite type sheaf of ideals.

Consider the blow ups $\mathrm{bl}_a : \mathrm{Bl}_a(X) \to X$ and $\mathrm{bl}_b : \mathrm{Bl}_b(X') \to X'$. If the morphism α is flat and finitely presented, then there is a unique morphism $\theta(g) : \mathrm{Bl}_b(X') \to \mathrm{Bl}_a(X)$ which makes the following diagram Cartesian.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Bl}_b(X') & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{bl}_b} & X' \\ {\scriptstyle \theta(g)}\vdots & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ \mathrm{Bl}_a(X) & \xrightarrow[\mathrm{bl}_a]{} & X \end{array}$$

Proof. The scheme X' is the base change of X by $Y' \to Y$ and the identity morphism $\alpha \circ a$ is flat. □

Corollary 2.3.2. *Given a section $\sigma : B \to \mathcal{S}$ of the family $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$, the morphism $\pi \circ \mathrm{bl}_\sigma : \mathrm{Bl}_\sigma(\mathcal{S}) \to B$ is a family.*

Proof. The morphism $\pi \circ \mathrm{bl}_\sigma$ is separated and surjective. By Lemma 2.3 it is flat and commutes with any base change $B' \to B$, so its generic fibre η is integral because η is a blow up of the generic fibre of π. □

Definition 2.4. An *ordered r-relative cluster of $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$* is a finite sequence of morphisms $(\sigma_0, \ldots, \sigma_r)$ such that for all $n = 0, \ldots, r$ each σ_n is a section of the family $\pi_n : \mathcal{S}_n \to B$ defined recursively as follows.

The family π_0 is π, so $\mathcal{S}_0$ is $\mathcal{S}$. For $n = 0, \ldots, r-1$ the scheme $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ is $\mathrm{Bl}_{\sigma_n}(\mathcal{S}_n)$ and the family π_{n+1} is the composition $\pi_n \circ \mathrm{bl}_{\sigma_n}$ (π_n is a family by Corollary 2.3.2).

Definition 2.5. An *ordered r-relative clusters family of $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$* (or an r-rcf) is a couple $(C, \beta_\bullet)$ consisting of a scheme C and a finite sequence $\beta_\bullet$ of morphisms $\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_r$ such that for all $n = 0, \ldots, r$ each β_n is a section of the morphism $\pi_n^C : \mathcal{S}_n^C \to C \times B$ defined recursively as follows.

The morphism π_0^C is $\mathrm{Id}_C \times \pi : C \times \mathcal{S} \to C \times B$. For $n = 0, \ldots, r-1$ the scheme $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}^C$ is $\mathrm{Bl}_{\beta_n}(\mathcal{S}_n^C)$ and the morphism π_{n+1}^C is the composition $\pi_n^C \circ \mathrm{bl}_{\beta_n}$, as shown in the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}_{n+1}^C := \mathrm{Bl}_{\beta_n}\left(\mathcal{S}_n^C\right) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{bl}_{\beta_n}} & \mathcal{S}_n^C \\ & {\scriptstyle \pi_{n+1}^C}\searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_n^C} \\ & & C \times B \end{array} \qquad \beta_n : C \times B \to \mathcal{S}_n^C$$

Given an r-rcf $(C, \beta_\bullet)$ of π, if the morphism $\pi_0^C : C \times \mathcal{S} \to C \times B$ is a family (e.g. $C = \mathrm{Spec}(K)$) then the sequence $(\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_r)$ is just an ordered r-relative cluster of π_0^C.

Remark 2.5.1. For an r-rcf $(C, \beta_\bullet)$ of π the blow up $\mathrm{bl}_{\beta_r} \colon \mathcal{S}_{r+1}^C \to \mathcal{S}_r^C$ and the morphism $\pi_{r+1}^C := \pi_r^C \circ \mathrm{bl}_{\beta_r}^C$ exist. But the morphism π_{r+1}^C may admit no sections.

Notation 2.6. Given an r-rcf $(C, \beta_\bullet)$ of π with $k \leq r$ and $\beta_\bullet = (\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_r)$, we denote by $\beta_\bullet|_k$ the subsequence $(\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_k)$, so $(C, \beta_\bullet|_k)$ is a k-rcf.

Remark 2.6.1. Given an r-rcf $(C, \beta_\bullet)$ with $k \leq r$, the target schemes of β_i and $(\beta_\bullet|_k)_i$ are the same.

Definition 2.7. Let $(C, \beta_\bullet)$ and $(C', \beta'_\bullet)$ be r-rcf of $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$ and $f : C \to C'$ a morphism. Given $k \leq r$, we call a morphism $g : \mathcal{S}_k^C \to \mathcal{S}_k^{C'}$ a *k-section lift* of f if the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \times B & \xrightarrow{\beta_k} & \mathcal{S}_k^C \\ {\scriptstyle f \times \mathrm{Id}_B}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ C' \times B & \xrightarrow[\beta'_k]{} & \mathcal{S}_k^{C'} \end{array} \tag{1}$$

Given $k \leq r+1$, we call the morphism g the *k-projection lift* of f if the following diagram is Cartesian.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}_k^C & \xrightarrow{\pi_k^C} & C \times B \\ {\scriptstyle g}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f \times \mathrm{Id}_B} \\ \mathcal{S}_k^{C'} & \xrightarrow[\pi_k^{C'}]{} & C' \times B \end{array} \tag{2}$$

Remark 2.7.1. If the morphism $g : \mathcal{S}_k^C \to \mathcal{S}_k^{C'}$ is the k-projection lift of f then it is a k-section lift of f if and only if the diagram (1) is Cartesian.

The following Proposition is an immediate consequence of Corollary 2.3.1 and Remark 2.7.1.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $(C, \beta_\bullet)$ and $(C', \beta'_\bullet)$ be r-rcf of $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$ with $k \leq r$ and $f : C \to C'$ a morphism. If a morphism $g : \mathcal{S}_k^C \to \mathcal{S}_k^{C'}$ is both the k-projection and a k-section lift of f then there is a unique morphism $\theta(g) : \mathcal{S}_{k+1}^C \to \mathcal{S}_{k+1}^{C'}$ which is the $(k+1)$-projection lift of f.*

Definition 2.9. A morphism between two r-rcf $(C, \beta_\bullet)$ and $(C', \beta'_\bullet)$ of $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$, or an *r-rcf-morphism*, is a morphism of schemes $f : C \to C'$ such that for all $n = 0, \ldots, r$ the morphism $f_n : \mathcal{S}_n^C \to \mathcal{S}_n^C$, defined recursively as follows, is an n-section lift of f.

The morphism f_0 is $f \times \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{S}}$, which is the 0-projection lift of f. By hypothesis f_0 is a 0-section lift of f. By Proposition 2.8 there is a unique morphism $f_1 := \theta(f_0) : \mathcal{S}_1^C \to \mathcal{S}_1^{C'}$ which is the 1-projection lift of f. Again by hypothesis f_1 is a 1-section lift of f and we can iterate the process.

Clearly by definition the r-rcf-morphisms are stable under composition and the identity is an r-rcf-morphism from an r-rcf to itself. So the set of all r-rcf of π is a category with the r-rcf-morphisms as morphisms.

Remark 2.9.1. For each $n = 0, \ldots, r$ the morphism $f_n : \mathcal{S}_n^C \to \mathcal{S}_n^{C'}$ is both the n-projection and an n-section lift of f. There is a unique morphism f_{r+1}:

$\mathcal{S}^C_{r+1} \to \mathcal{S}^{C'}_{r+1}$ which is the $(r+1)$-projection lift of f. Furthermore, if $(C, \beta_\bullet)$ is an $(r+1)$-rcf of π, the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C \times B & \xrightarrow{\beta_{r+1}} & \mathcal{S}^C_{r+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{r+1}} & \mathcal{S}^{C'}_{r+1} \\ & \searrow^{\mathrm{Id}_{C\times B}} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi^C_{r+1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi^{C'}_{r+1}} \\ & & C \times B & \xrightarrow[f \times \mathrm{Id}_B]{} & C' \times B \end{array}$$

i.e. $(C, (f_{r+1} \circ \beta_{r+1}), f)$ is a C'-ssf of $\pi^{C'}_{r+1}$.

Notation 2.10. We denote $f : (C, \beta_\bullet) \to (C', \beta'_\bullet)$ a morphism $f : C \to C'$ which is an r-rcf-morphism from $(C, \beta_\bullet)$ to $(C', \beta'_\bullet)$.

Definition 2.11. Let $(\mathrm{Cl}_r, \alpha^r_\bullet)$ be an r-rcf of $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$. We call $(\mathrm{Cl}_r, \alpha^r_\bullet)$ an *Universal r-relative clusters family* (or r-Urcf) of π if it satisfies the following universal property: for any r-rcf $(C, \beta_\bullet)$ of π there is a unique morphism $f : C \to \mathrm{Cl}_r$ which is an r-rcf-morphism $f : (C, \beta_\bullet) \to (\mathrm{Cl}_r, \alpha^r_\bullet)$.

If an r-Urcf of $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$ exists, by abstract nonsense, it is uniquely determined, up to unique isomorphism. We denote it by $(\mathrm{Cl}_r, \alpha^r_\bullet)$, for each $n = 0, \dots, r+1$ we denote the scheme $\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{Cl}_r}_n$ by $\mathcal{S}^r_n$ and the morphism $\pi^{\mathrm{Cl}_r}_n$ by π^r_n. For each $n = 0, \dots, r$ we denote the blow up $\mathrm{bl}_{\alpha^r_n}$ by bl^r_{n+1}.

Remark 2.11.1. A couple (Y, ρ) is an sf of $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$ if and only if the couple $(Y, (\mathrm{Id}_Y \times_Y \rho))$ is a 0-rcf of π. The morphism $(\mathrm{Id}_Y \times_Y \rho) : Y \times B \to Y \times \mathcal{S}$ is a section of $(\mathrm{Id}_Y \times \pi)$ which is separated and surjective. So, by Lemma 1.2 the image of $(\mathrm{Id}_Y \times_Y \rho)$ is closed and, if the Usf (X, ψ) and the 0-Urcf $(\mathrm{Cl}_0, \alpha^0_0)$ of π exist, $X \cong \mathrm{Cl}_0$ and $\alpha^0_0 \cong \mathrm{Id}_X \times_X \psi$.

Notation 2.12. If the r-Urcf $(\mathrm{Cl}_r, \alpha^r_\bullet)$ of $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$ exists then the couples $(\mathrm{Cl}_r, \alpha^r_\bullet|_{r-1})$ and $\left(\mathrm{Cl}_r, \left(\alpha^r_0, \dots, \alpha^r_{r-2}, \mathrm{bl}^r_r \circ \alpha^r_r\right)\right)$ are $(r-1)$-rcf of π. So, if the $(r-1)$-Urcf $\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{r-1}, \alpha^{r-1}_\bullet\right)$ of π exists too, there are two $(r-1)$-rcf-morphisms

$$p^{r-1} : (\mathrm{Cl}_r, \alpha^r_\bullet|_{r-1}) \longrightarrow \left(\mathrm{Cl}_{r-1}, \alpha^{r-1}_\bullet\right)$$

$$f^{r-1} : \left(\mathrm{Cl}_r, \left(\alpha^r_0, \dots, \alpha^r_{r-2}, \mathrm{bl}^r_r \circ \alpha^r_r\right)\right) \longrightarrow \left(\mathrm{Cl}_{r-1}, \alpha^{r-1}_\bullet\right) \ .$$

Remark 2.12.1. If for each $n = (r-1), r, (r+1)$ the n-Urcf of $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$ exists, then $p^{r-1} \circ p^r = p^{r-1} \circ f^r$ as $(r-1)$-rcf-morphisms.

In the forthcoming sections we always consider an $(r+1)$-Urcf Cl_{r+1} to be a Cl_r-scheme with structure morphism p^r; in particular when we consider some fibre product of some $(r+1)$-Urcf it will be understood with respect to the morphism p^r.

Given the family $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$ and an integer $r \geq 0$ there is the contravariant functor $\mathcal{C}\ell^r : K\text{-Sch} \to \mathrm{Set}$ corresponding to the parameter space problem of the ordered r-relative clusters of π defined as follows. It sends each scheme C to the set of sequences of morphisms

$$\mathcal{C}\ell^r C := \{\beta_\bullet : C \times B \to \mathcal{S}^C_\bullet \text{ such that } (C, \beta_\bullet) \text{ is an } r\text{-rcf of } \pi\}.$$

Given a morphism $f : C \to C'$ and a sequence of morphisms $\beta'_\bullet \in \mathcal{Cl}^r C'$ the image $\beta_\bullet := \mathcal{Cl}^r f(\beta'_\bullet)$ is a sequence of morphisms such that $(C, \beta_\bullet)$ is an r-rcf of π and f is an r-rcf-morphism between $(C, \beta_\bullet)$ and $(C', \beta'_\bullet)$. For $n = 0, \ldots, r$ we construct recursively each section β_n of the morphism π_n^C and each morphism $f_n : \mathcal{S}_n^C \to \mathcal{S}_n^{C'}$ at the same time as follows.

The morphism f_0 is $f \times \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{S}}$, which is the 0-projection lift of f. We define $\beta_0 : C \times B \to \mathcal{S}_0^C$ as the section given by Lemma 1.3 applied to the diagram (2) with $g = f_0$ and the section β'_0. Now $f : (C, \beta_0) \to (C', \beta'_0)$ is a 0-rcf-morphism and f_0 is a 0-section lift of f. By Proposition 2.8 there is a unique morphism $f_1 := \theta(f_0) : \mathcal{S}_1^C \to \mathcal{S}_1^{C'}$ which is the 1-projection lift of f. We can iterate the process to obtain β_n and f_{n+1} for $n = 1, \ldots, r$.

Given two r-rcf $(C, \beta_\bullet)$ and $(C', \beta'_\bullet)$ of $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$, in the definition of the functor $\mathcal{Cl}^r$ it was shown that a morphism $f : C \to C'$ is an r-rcf-morphism $f : (C, \beta_\bullet) \to (C', \beta'_\bullet)$ if and only if $\beta_\bullet = \mathcal{Cl}^r f(\beta'_\bullet)$, which gives an explanation for the (maybe surprising at first sight) definition of r-rcf-morphism.

Definition 2.13. Given an r-rcf $(C, \beta_\bullet)$ of $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$ and $c \in C$, slightly abusing language we call the sequence of morphisms $(\underline{c}^0, \ldots, \underline{c}^r) := \mathcal{Cl}^r \mathrm{em}_c(\beta_\bullet)$ the *ordered r-relative cluster associated to c.*

Proposition 2.14. *Given an r-rcf $(C, \beta_\bullet)$ of $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$ and a closed $c \in C$, the ordered r-relative cluster associated to c is an ordered r-relative cluster of π.*

Proof. Clearly from the definition $\underline{c}^n$ is a section of $\pi_n^{\mathrm{Spec}(k(c))}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}^{\mathrm{Spec}(k(c))}$ is the blow up of $\mathcal{S}_n^{\mathrm{Spec}(k(c))}$ at $\underline{c}^n(B^c)$. Note that the schemes $\mathcal{S}_0^{\mathrm{Spec}(k(c))}$ and B^c are respectively isomorphic to $\mathcal{S}$ and B, so $\underline{c}^0$ can be identified with a section of π. □

Remark 2.14.1. For each $n = 0, \ldots, r+1$, the scheme $\mathcal{S}_n^{\mathrm{Spec}(k(c))}$ is isomorphic to the fibre over $c \in C$ of $\mathcal{S}_n^C \to C$.

Theorem 2.15 is a natural generalisation of Proposition 1.6 and is proved essentially the same way.

Theorem 2.15. *A scheme C represents the functor $\mathcal{Cl}^r$ associated to $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$ if and only if C is the r-Urcf of π.*

Corollary 2.15.1. *If the r-Urcf $(\mathrm{Cl}_r, \alpha_\bullet^r)$ of the morphism $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$ exists, the following map is bijective.*

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \Psi & : & \mathrm{Cl}_r(K) & \longrightarrow & \{\textit{ordered } r\textit{-relative clusters of } \pi\} \\ & & c & \longmapsto & (\underline{c}^0, \ldots, \underline{c}^r) \end{array}$$

Theorem 2.16. *Given the family $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$, if B is projective and $\mathcal{S}$ is piecewise quasiprojective then its r-Urcf $(\mathrm{Cl}_r, \alpha_\bullet^r)$ exists and the scheme Cl_r is piecewise quasiprojective.*

Proof. We work by induction over r. For $r = 0$, Remark 2.11.1 and Theorem 1.7 says that the 0-Urcf of π exists, it is its Usf which is piecewise quasiprojective.

Assume that the $(r-1)$-Urcf $(\mathrm{Cl}_{r-1}, \alpha_\bullet^{r-1})$ of π exists with Cl_{r-1} piecewise quasiprojective.

The scheme $\mathcal{S}_r^{r-1}$ is piecewise quasiprojective. By Corollary 1.9.2 the (Cl_{r-1})-Ussf of $\pi_r^{r-1}: \mathcal{S}_r^{r-1} \to \mathrm{Cl}_{r-1} \times B$ exists. We denote it by $(\mathrm{Cl}_r, \gamma_r, p^{r-1})$, note that Cl_r is piecewise quasiprojective.

We will see that the scheme Cl_r represents the functor $\mathcal{C}\ell^r$. First we will construct a morphism of functors ν from $\mathcal{C}\ell^r$ to $\mathrm{Hom}_{Sch}(_, \mathrm{Cl}_r)$ and then its inverse μ.

Given a scheme Z and a $\beta_\bullet \in \mathcal{C}\ell^r(Z)$, the couple $(Z, \beta_\bullet|_{r-1})$ is an $(r-1)$-rcf of π. By the universal property of the $(r-1)$-Urcf $(\mathrm{Cl}_{r-1}, \alpha_\bullet^{r-1})$ of π there is a unique morphism $f: Z \to \mathrm{Cl}_{r-1}$ such that $\beta_\bullet|_{r-1} = \mathcal{C}\ell^{r-1} f(\alpha_\bullet^{r-1})$. Since (Z, β) is an r-rcf of π, by Remark 2.9.1 the triplet $(Z, (f_r \circ \beta_r), f)$ is a (Cl_{r-1})-ssf of π_r^{r-1}. By the universal property of the (Cl_{r-1})-Ussf $(\mathrm{Cl}_r, \gamma_r, p^{r-1})$ of π_r^{r-1} there is a unique morphism $\nu_Z(\beta_\bullet): Z \to \mathrm{Cl}_r$ such that $f_r \circ \beta_r = \gamma_r \circ (\nu_Z(\beta_\bullet) \times \mathrm{Id}_B)$. Then ν is clearly a morphism of functors.

Given a scheme Z and a morphism $h: Z \to \mathrm{Cl}_r$, we define $(\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_{r-1}) = \mathcal{C}\ell^{r-1}(p^{r-1} \circ h)(\alpha_\bullet^{r-1})$. Observe that $\mathcal{S}_r^Z$ is $\mathrm{Bl}_{\beta_{r-1}}(\mathcal{S}_{r-1}^Z)$ which endowed with the morphism $(p^{r-1} \circ h)_r: \mathcal{S}_r^Z \to \mathcal{S}_r^{r-1}$. By Remark 2.9.1 the scheme $\mathcal{S}_r^Z$ is the fibre product $(Z \times B) \times_{(\mathrm{Cl}_{r-1} \times B)} \mathcal{S}_r^{r-1}$. We use this fact to define the morphism $\beta_r: Z \times B \to \mathcal{S}_r^Z$ as

$$\beta_r := \mathrm{Id}_{(Z \times B)} \times_{(\mathrm{Cl}_{r-1} \times B)} (\gamma_r \circ (h \times \mathrm{Id}_B))$$

which is clearly well defined. Then $\mu_z(h) := (\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_r)$ and μ is a morphism of functors.

We leave to the reader to check that ν and μ are inverse one to each other. □

Remark 2.16.1. Given the $(r-1)$-Ussf $(\mathrm{Cl}_{r-1}, \alpha_\bullet^{r-1})$ of π and the scheme Cl_r, the sequence of morphisms $\alpha_\bullet^r$ is $\mu_{\mathrm{Cl}_r}(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{Cl}_r})$. In particular,

$$\alpha_r^r = \mathrm{Id}_{(\mathrm{Cl}_r \times B)} \times_{(\mathrm{Cl}_{r-1} \times B)} \gamma_r$$

and $\gamma_r = p_r^{r-1} \circ \alpha_r^r$.

3 Cl_{r+1} as a blowup scheme

In this section we consider a family $\pi: \mathcal{S} \to B$ with $\mathcal{S}$ quasiprojective and B projective and regular. By Theorem 2.16 the r-Urcf $(\mathrm{Cl}_r, \alpha_\bullet^r)$ of π exists for all integer $r \geq 0$.

Note from the proof of Theorem 2.16 that for all integer $r \geq 0$ the triplet $(\mathrm{Cl}_r, \gamma_r, p^{r-1})$ is the (Cl_{r-1})-Ussf of $\pi_r^{r-1}: \mathcal{S}_r^{r-1} \to \mathrm{Cl}_r \times B$, where $\gamma_r: \mathrm{Cl}_r \times B \to \mathcal{S}_r^{r-1}$ is $\gamma_r = p_r^{r-1} \circ \alpha_r^r$.

Notation 3.1. Given an $r \geq 0$ and a $e \in \mathrm{Cl}_r$ we fix the following notation.

- From the (Cl_{r-1})-Ussf $(\mathrm{Cl}_r, \gamma_r, p^{r-1})$ of π_r^{r-1}, the (Cl_{r-1})-split section $\tilde{e}: B^e \to \left(\mathcal{S}_r^{r-1}\right)_{p^{r-1}(e)}$ associated to e.
- From the r-Urcf $(\mathrm{Cl}_r, \alpha_\bullet^r)$ of π, the ordered r-relative cluster $(\underline{e}^0, \ldots, \underline{e}^r)$ associated to e, where $\underline{e}^r: B^e \to (\mathcal{S}_r^r)_e$.

- From the sf $(\mathrm{Cl}_r, \gamma_r)$ of $\mathcal{S}_r^{r-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_r^{r-1}} \mathrm{Cl}_{r-1} \times B \to B$ there is the section $\underline{e}: B^e \to \mathcal{S}_r^{r-1}$ associated to e.

Remark 3.1.1. For integers $n, m \geq 0$ and $d \in \mathrm{Cl}_n$ we denote $(i_m^n)_d : (\mathcal{S}_m^n)_d \to \mathcal{S}_m^n$ the inclusion. The relation between $\underline{e}$, $\tilde{e}$ and $\underline{e}^r$ are

$$\underline{e} = \left(i_r^{r-1}\right)_{p^{r-1}(e)} \circ \tilde{e} = p_r^{r-1} \circ (i_r^r)_e \circ \underline{e}^r.$$

Now fix an integer $r \geq 0$ for the rest of the section. Consider $\mathrm{Cl}_r^2 := \mathrm{Cl}_r \times_{\mathrm{Cl}_{r-1}} \mathrm{Cl}_r$ with the projections $q1, q2 : \mathrm{Cl}_r^2 \to \mathrm{Cl}_r$ over the first and the second factor respectively and Δ_r its diagonal morphism. Observe that $p^{r-1} \circ q1 = p^{r-1} \circ q2$.

We call E the exceptional divisor of the blow up $\mathrm{bl}_{r+1}^r : \mathcal{S}_{r+1}^r \to \mathcal{S}_r^r$ (see Definition 2.11), whose centre is the image of α_r^r, a closed subscheme (note that α_r^r is a section so its image is closed).

Our goal is to describe part of the components of Cl_{r+1} as a blow up of $\mathrm{Cl}_r \times_{\mathrm{Cl}_{r-1}} \mathrm{Cl}_r$ in analogy with Kleiman (Kleiman, 1981). Observe that the component of Cl_{r+1} such that for all its points b the image of $\underline{b}$ is contained in E can not be emerge from this blow up.

For the following definition note from the proof of Theorem 2.16 that the scheme $\mathcal{S}_r^r$ is the fibre product $(\mathrm{Cl}_r \times B) \times_{(\mathrm{Cl}_{r-1} \times B)} \mathcal{S}_r^{r-1}$.

Definition 3.2. We define $\rho : \mathrm{Cl}_r^2 \times B \to \mathcal{S}_r^r$ as the product morphism,

$$(q1 \times \mathrm{Id}_B) \times_{(\mathrm{Cl}_{r-1} \times B)} (\gamma_r \circ (q2 \times \mathrm{Id}_B))$$

which is clearly well defined and makes the following diagram commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathrm{Cl}_r^2 \times B & & \\ & \swarrow^{q1 \times \mathrm{Id}_B} & \downarrow \rho & \searrow^{\gamma_r \circ (q2 \times \mathrm{Id}_B)} & \\ \mathrm{Cl}_r \times B & \xleftarrow[\pi_r^r]{} & \mathcal{S}_r^r & \xrightarrow[p_r^{r-1}]{} & \mathcal{S}_r^{r-1} \end{array}$$

We also define the morphism $F : \mathrm{Cl}_{r+1} \to \mathrm{Cl}_r^2$ as the product $p^r \times_{\mathrm{Cl}_{r-1}} f^r$ which is well defined by Remark 2.12.1.

Explicitly, over the closed points, ρ sends each (c, d, t) to $(c, \underline{d}^r(t))$, which is possible because $p^{r-1}(c) = p^{r-1}(d)$.

Given a closed $b \in \mathrm{Cl}_{r+1}$ the pair of ordered r-relative clusters associated to the image $F(b) = (c, d) \in \mathrm{Cl}_r^2$ is $\left((\underline{b}^0, \ldots, \underline{b}^{r-1}, \underline{b}^r), (\underline{b}^0, \ldots, \underline{b}^{r-1}, \mathrm{bl}_{r+1}^r \circ \underline{b}^{r+1})\right)$.

Lemma 3.3. *The following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Cl}_{r+1} \times B & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{r+1}} & \mathcal{S}_{r+1}^r \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle F \times \mathrm{Id}_B} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{bl}_{r+1}^r} \\ \mathrm{Cl}_r^2 \times B & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathcal{S}_r^r \end{array}$$

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of Remark 2.16.1 and the definitions of F and ρ . □

Remark 3.3.1. Observe that $\rho \circ (\Delta_r \times \mathrm{Id}_B) = \alpha_r^r$, so the centre of the blow up $\mathrm{bl}_{r+1}^r : \mathcal{S}_{r+1}^r \to \mathcal{S}_r^r$ is $\rho(\Delta_r(\mathrm{Cl}_r) \times B)$.

Lemma 3.4. *The triplet* $(\mathrm{Cl}_r^2, \rho, q1)$ *is the* Cl_r*-Ussf of* $\pi_r^r : \mathcal{S}_r^r \to \mathrm{Cl}_r \times B$.

Proof. The schemes Cl_r and $\mathcal{S}_r^r$ are piecewise quasiprojective and B is projective. By Corollary 1.9.2 the Cl_r-Ussf (Y, β, f) of π_r^r exists. The triplet $(\mathrm{Cl}_r^2, \rho, q1)$ is a Cl_r-ssf of π_r^r. So there is a unique morphism $L : \mathrm{Cl}_r^2 \to Y$ such that $\rho = \beta \circ (L \times \mathrm{Id}_B)$ and $q1 = f \circ L$.

In fact, the morphism L is an isomorphism, we are going to find its inverse $H : Y \to \mathrm{Cl}_r^2$. By the universal property of the Cartesian square of the following diagram, to construct H such that $H \circ L = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{Cl}_r^2}$ it is enough to find a morphism $g : Y \to \mathrm{Cl}_r$ which makes the following diagram commute.



Furthermore, by the universal properties of the Cl_r-Ussf (Y, β, f) and the fibre product $\mathcal{S}_r^r = (\mathrm{Cl}_r \times B) \times_{(\mathrm{Cl}_{r-1} \times B)} \mathcal{S}_r^{r-1}$ the equality $L \circ H = Id_Y$ holds if $p_r^{r-1} \circ \beta = \gamma_r \circ (g \times \mathrm{Id}_B)$.

The triplet $\left(\mathrm{Cl}_r, \gamma_r, p^{r-1}\right)$ is the (Cl_{r-1})-Ussf of the morphism $\pi_r^{r-1} : \mathcal{S}_r^{r-1} \to \mathrm{Cl}_{r-1} \times B$. The triplet $\left(Y, (p_r^{r-1} \circ \beta), (p^{r-1} \circ f)\right)$ is a (Cl_{r-1})-ssf of π_r^{r-1}. So there is a unique morphism $g : Y \to \mathrm{Cl}_r$ such that $p_r^{r-1} \circ \beta = \gamma_r \circ (g \times \mathrm{Id}_B)$ and $p^{r-1} \circ f = p^{r-1} \circ g$. Finally the triplet $\left(\mathrm{Cl}_r^2, (p_r^{r-1} \circ \rho), (p^{r-1} \circ q2)\right)$ is a (Cl_{r-1})-ssf of π_r^{r-1} too, but by definition of ρ, $p_r^{r-1} \circ \rho = \gamma_r \circ (q2 \times \mathrm{Id}_B)$, so by the universal property of the (Cl_{r-1})-Ussf $\left(\mathrm{Cl}_r, \gamma_r, p^{r-1}\right)$ the morphism $q2$ is the unique morphism satisfying this equality and $q2 = g \circ L$. □

Notation 3.5. Given $e \in \mathrm{Cl}_r^2$ we fix the following notation.

- From the sf (Cl_r^2, ρ) of $\mathcal{S}_r^r \xrightarrow{\pi_r^r} \mathrm{Cl}_r \times B \to B$ there is the section $\underline{e}_2 : B^e \to \mathcal{S}_r^r$ associated to e (with a subindex intended to highlight its difference to the $\underline{c} : B^c \to \mathcal{S}_r^r$ for a given $c \in \mathrm{Cl}_r$).
- From the Cl_r-Ussf $(\mathrm{Cl}_r^2, \rho, q1)$ of $\pi_r^r : \mathcal{S}_r^r \to \mathrm{Cl}_r \times B$ the Cl_r-split section $\tilde{e} : B^e \to (\mathcal{S}_r^r)_{q1(e)}$ associated to e.

Remark 3.5.1. The relation between $\underline{e}_2$ and $\tilde{e}$ is $\underline{e}_2 = (i_r^r)_{q1(e)} \circ \tilde{e}$.

Given a closed $(c, d) \in \mathrm{Cl}_r^2$ and $t \in B^{(c,d)}$, by definition of ρ, $\underline{(c,d)}_2(t) = (c, \underline{d}^r(t))$. Furthermore, given $c \in \mathrm{Cl}_r$, $\underline{c} = \underline{\Delta_r(c)}_2$.

Proposition 3.6. *Given a point* $b \in \mathrm{Cl}_{r+1}$*, its image* $F(b) = e \in \mathrm{Cl}_r^2$ *belongs to* $\Delta_r(\mathrm{Cl}_r)$ *if and only if* $\underline{b}(B^b) \subseteq E$*, and given* $t \in B^b$*,* $\underline{b}(t)$ *belongs to* E *if and only if*

$$\underline{e}_2(t) \in \rho\left(\Delta_r(\mathrm{Cl}_r) \times B\right).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, $\underline{e}_2 = \mathrm{bl}^r_{r+1} \circ \underline{b}$. Then the proposition follows from Remark 3.3.1. □

Remark 3.6.1. Given $b \in \mathrm{Cl}_{r+1}$, the section $\underline{b}^{r+1}$ of the ordered $(r+1)$-relative cluster $(\underline{b}^0, \ldots, \underline{b}^{r+1})$ associated to b belongs to the first infinitesimal neighbourhood of section $\underline{b}^r$, or $\underline{b}^{r+1}$ is infinitely near to $\underline{b}^r$, if and only if $F(b) \in \Delta_r(\mathrm{Cl}_r)$.

We denote V the open set $\mathrm{Cl}_{r+1} \setminus F^{-1}(\Delta_r(\mathrm{Cl}_r))$ which parameterize the ordered $(r+1)$-relative clusters $(\underline{c}^0, \ldots, \underline{c}^{r+1})$ such that the section $\underline{c}^{r+1}$ is not contained in E (i.e. $\underline{c}^{r+1}$ is *not* infinitely near to $\underline{c}^r$).

We denote I the closed set $\rho^{-1}(\alpha^r_r(\mathrm{Cl}_r \times B)) = \rho^{-1}(\rho(\Delta_r(\mathrm{Cl}_r) \times B)) \subseteq \mathrm{Cl}^2_r \times B$ whose closed points are the closed $(c, d, t) \in \mathrm{Cl}^2_r \times B$ such that $\underline{c}^r(t) = \underline{d}^r(t)$.

We define the scheme J by the following Cartesian square,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J & \longrightarrow & I \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V & \xrightarrow{F|_V} & \mathrm{Cl}^2_r \end{array} \tag{3}$$

where $I \to \mathrm{Cl}^2_r$ is the restriction of the projection $\mathrm{Cl}^2_r \times B \to \mathrm{Cl}^2_r$ to I.

Remark 3.6.2. Let $b \in V$ be a point with image $F(b) = e \in \mathrm{Cl}^2_r$. Since $\mathrm{bl}^r_{r+1} \circ \underline{b} = \underline{e}_2$ we have (via the blow up bl^r_{r+1})

$$\underline{b}(B^b) \setminus E \cong \underline{e}_2(B^e) \setminus \alpha^r_r(\mathrm{Cl}_r \times B).$$

Hence the strict transform of $\underline{e}_2(B)$ by the blow up bl^r_{r+1} is

$$\overline{(\mathrm{bl}^r_{r+1})^{-1}(\underline{e}_2(B^e) \setminus \alpha^r_r(\mathrm{Cl}_r \times B))} = \overline{b(B^b) \setminus E} = b(B^b)$$

where the last equality is because $\underline{b}(B^b) \not\subseteq E$ since $b \in V$.

Remark 3.6.3. Given $e \in \mathrm{Cl}^2_r$, the scheme

$$\underline{e}_2(B^e) \cap \alpha^r_r(\mathrm{Cl}_r \times B)$$

is isomorphic to the fibre I_e of $I \to \mathrm{Cl}^2_r$.

Definition 3.7. Given $e \in \mathrm{Cl}^2_r$, we call e a pair of admissible r-relative clusters if

$$\underline{e}_2(B^e) \cap \alpha^r_r(B)$$

is an effective Cartier divisor of $\underline{e}_2(B)$. If e is closed equal to (c, d) we also call d admissible with respect to c.

We denote by $\mathrm{Cl}^{\mathrm{adm}}_r \subseteq \mathrm{Cl}^2_r$ (resp. by $\mathrm{Cl}^{\mathrm{adm}}_c \subseteq \mathrm{Cl}_r$) the set of all pairs of admissible r-relative clusters (resp. the set of all admissible r-relative clusters with respect to c).

Corollary 3.7.1. *The set* $\mathrm{Cl}^{\mathrm{adm}}_r$ *and for all closed* $c \in \mathrm{Cl}_r$*, the set* $\mathrm{Cl}^{\mathrm{adm}}_c$ *are constructible.*

Proof. By Remark 3.6.2, $\mathrm{Cl}^{\mathrm{adm}}_r = F(\mathrm{Cl}_{r+1}) \setminus \Delta_r(\mathrm{Cl}_r)$ and $\mathrm{Cl}^{\mathrm{adm}}_c = f^r(\mathrm{Cl}_{r+1}) \setminus \{c\}$ (the definition of f^r is in Notation 2.12). The claim follows from Chevalley's theorem (Hartshorne, 1977, ex. 3.19). □

Proposition 3.8. *$J \subseteq V \times B$ is a relative effective Cartier divisor on $(V \times B)/V$*

Proof. The following diagram, where the vertical arrows are the projections, is a Cartesian square.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V \times B & \xrightarrow{F|_V \times \mathrm{Id}_B} & \mathrm{Cl}_r^2 \times B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V & \xrightarrow[F|_V]{} & \mathrm{Cl}_r^2 \end{array}$$

Hence the scheme $J = V \times_{\mathrm{Cl}_r^2} I$ is a closed subscheme of $V \times B$, the morphism $J \to V$ is the (flat) projection $V \times B \to V$ restricted to J and the following diagram is Cartesian.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} J & \longrightarrow & I & \longrightarrow & \alpha_r^r(\mathrm{Cl}_r \times B) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V \times B & \xrightarrow[F|_V \times \mathrm{Id}_B]{} & Cl_r^2 \times B & \xrightarrow[\rho]{} & \mathcal{S}_r^r \end{array}$$

So $J = (\rho \circ (F|_V \times \mathrm{Id}_B))^{-1}(\alpha_r^r(\mathrm{Cl}_r \times B))$ and by Lemma 3.3 the scheme J is just $(\gamma_{r+1}|_{V \times B})^{-1}(E)$.

For every $b \in V$, the fibre J_b of $J \to V$ is isomorphic (via $\gamma_{r+1}|_{B^b} = \underline{b}$) to $\underline{b}(B^b) \cap E$ which is an effective Cartier divisor of $\underline{b}(B^b)$ (note that $\underline{b}(B^b) \cap E \neq \underline{b}(B^b)$ by Proposition 3.6 and $b \in V$).

Then J_b is an effective Cartier divisor in the fibre $(V \times B)_b$ of $V \times B \to V$ (note that $(V \times B)_b \cong B^b$) and the proposition follows from lemma (Stacks Project Authors, 2015). □

Theorem 3.9. *Given the family $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$ with $\mathcal{S}$ quasiprojective and B projective and regular, there is a stratification, $\bigsqcup_p \mathrm{Cl}^p \to \mathrm{Cl}_r^2$ by connected locally closed subschemes, such that*

(1) There are two kinds of stratum. Type I with all $e \in \mathrm{Cl}^p$ an admissible pair of r-relative clusters and type II with all $e \in \mathrm{Cl}^p$ not admissible pair of r-relative clusters.

(2) $\Delta_r(\mathrm{Cl}_r)$ is a stratum itself of type II.

(3) V is isomorphic to the all disjoint union of type I strata, which we call $\bigsqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p$.

Proof. The stratification $\bigsqcup_p \mathrm{Cl}^p \to \mathrm{Cl}_r^2$ of Cl_r^2 is the stratification by a locally finite set of connected locally closed subschemes given by the flattening stratification of the morphism $I \to \mathrm{Cl}_r^2$ (see (Nitsure, 2005) for the existence and the universal property of such flattening stratification) with the strata decomposed into connected components.

(1) Given Cl^p a stratum, by (Grothendieck, 1962, VI, Theorème 2.1 (i)) and (Kleiman, 2005, 3.4), the set of $e \in \mathrm{Cl}^p$ such that $I_e \subseteq B^e$ is an effective Cartier divisor is both open and closed (here we use the hypothesis B regular). So, if there is $e \in \mathrm{Cl}^p$ such that $I_e \subseteq B^e$ is an effective Cartier divisor then so is

$I_{e'} \subseteq B^{e'}$ for all $e' \in \mathrm{Cl}^p$ (note that Cl^p is connected) and then Remark 3.6.3 gives the statement.

(2) For all $e \in \mathrm{Cl}_r^2$, the dimension of the fibre I_e of the morphism $I \to \mathrm{Cl}_r^2$ is equal to $\dim(B)$ if and only if $e \in \Delta_r(\mathrm{Cl}_r)$ and then $I_e \cong B^e$. Therefore by the equidimensionality of the fibres of a flat morphism the closed set $\Delta_r(\mathrm{Cl}_r)$ is a stratum (note from the definition of a family that B is irreducible).

(3) By Proposition 3.8 and the universal property of the flattening stratification there is a unique morphism $F' : V \to \bigsqcup_p \mathrm{Cl}^p$ which makes the following diagram commute.



Furthermore, by Remark 3.6.2 and the universal property of $(\mathrm{Cl}_{r+1}, \gamma_{r+1}, p^r)$, $F'(V) = \bigsqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p$. We call $F_0 : V \to \bigsqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p$ the corestriction of F' which is surjective.

We define $\sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} I^p$ by the following Cartesian square.



The scheme $\sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} I^p$ is a subscheme of $\sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p \times B$. The morphism$\sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} I^p \to \sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p$ is the restriction of the (flat) projection $\sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p \times B \to \sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p$ to $\sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} I^p$.

Given $b \in V$ consider the fibre J_b of the (flat) morphism $J \to V$ (Proposition 3.8). By the Cartesian diagram (3) the scheme J_b is the pull back by $((\sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} I^p)_{F_0(b)} \to F_0(b)$ of $b \to F_0(b)$. Hence J_b is a field extension of $(\sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} I^p)_{F_0(b)}$, in particular their Hilbert polynomial is equal (Stacks Project Authors, 2015). So, by (Hartshorne, 1977, III.9.9) and that F_0 is exhaustive, the Hilbert polynomial of $(\sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} I^p)_e$ is locally constant on $e \in \sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p$ and again by (Hartshorne, 1977, III 9.9) the morphism $\sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} I^p \to \sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p$ is flat. Furthermore, for all $e \in \sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p$, $(\sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} I^p)_e \subseteq B^e$ is an effective Cartier divisor, so by (Stacks Project Authors, 2015), $\sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} I^p \subseteq \sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p \times B$ is a relative effective Cartier divisor on $(\sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p \times B)/ \sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p$.

The scheme $\sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} I^p$ is the pre-image of the centre of blow up bl_{r+1}^r by the morphism ρ restricted to $\sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p \times B$. By the universal properties of the blow up bl_{r+1}^r and of the Cl_r-Ussf $(\mathrm{Cl}_{r+1}, \gamma_{r+1}, p^r)$, there is a unique morphism G:

$\sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p \to \mathrm{Cl}_{r+1}$ which makes the following diagram commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Cl}_{r+1} \times B & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{r+1}} & \mathcal{S}^r_{r+1} \\ {\scriptstyle G \times \mathrm{Id}_B}\uparrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{bl}^r_{r+1}} \\ \sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p \times B & \xrightarrow{\rho|_{\sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p} \times \mathrm{Id}_B} & \mathcal{S}^r_r \end{array}$$

By Lemma 3.3 and the universal property of the Cl_r-Ussf $\left(\mathrm{Cl}^2_r, \rho, q1\right)$ clearly $F \circ G = \mathrm{Id}_{\sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p}$. We have $G(\sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p) \subseteq F^{-1}(\sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p)$ and since $\Delta_r(\mathrm{Cl}_r)$ is not a Type I stratum $F^{-1}(\sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p) \subseteq V$. We call $G_0 : \sqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p \to V$ the corestriction of G to V.

Finally, by the universal properties of the Cl_r-Ussf $(\mathrm{Cl}_{r+1}, \gamma_{r+1}, p^{r+1})$ and of the blow up bl^r_{r+1} and by above commutative diagram and Lemma 3.3 the equality $G_0 \circ F_0 = \mathrm{Id}_V$ holds. □

Remark 3.9.1. $\mathrm{Cl}^{\mathrm{adm}}_r(K) = \bigsqcup_{\mathrm{adm}} \mathrm{Cl}^p(K)$.

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of the previous Theorem 3.9.

Theorem 3.10. *Each irreducible component Z of Cl_{r+1} is either*

1. *composed entirely of clusters whose $(r+1)$'th section is infinitely near to the r'th (and $F(Z) \subset \Delta_r(\mathrm{Cl}_r)$),*
2. *isomorphic to a type I stratum whose closure does not intersect $\Delta_r(\mathrm{Cl}_r)$,*
3. *birational to a irreducible component of a type I stratum whose closure intersects $\Delta_r(\mathrm{Cl}_r)$, in this case $F|_Z$ is a blowup map whose centre fails to be Cartier only on $\Delta_r(\mathrm{Cl}_r)$.*

4 Examples

In this section we collect a few simple examples on surfaces in which the Universal family of relative clusters behave differently to Kleiman's iterated blow ups in distinct ways.

We consider families $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to B$ with B and $\mathcal{S}$ projective; by Theorem 2.16 the r-Urcf $(\mathrm{Cl}_r, \alpha^r_\bullet)$ of π exists for all $r \geq 0$. For all the examples we fix the notation of the Section 3 for $r = 0$. Finally, if (X, ψ) is the Usf of π, by Remark 2.11.1 the scheme Cl_0 is isomorphic to X. We refer to the elements of Cl_0 as sections and Cl_1 as clusters.

Example 4.1. We will show a family in which there are infinitely many components of Cl_1 filled up with infinitely near sections which are not limits of distinct sections, a phenomena which does not occur on an absolute setting $\mathcal{S} \to \mathrm{Spec}(K)$.

Consider a smooth family $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to C$ of relative dimension 2 with C a smooth curve. There are no restrictions to the pairs of admissible sections because the dimension of the base is 1. Given the stratification $\bigsqcup_p \mathrm{Cl}^p \to \mathrm{Cl}^2_0$ of the Theorem 3.9, $\Delta_0(\mathrm{Cl}_0)$ is the unique type II stratum and, by Remark 3.9.1,

$$\left(\bigsqcup_p \mathrm{Cl}^p \setminus \Delta_0(\mathrm{Cl}_0) \right) \cong V \subseteq \mathrm{Cl}_1 \,.$$

There are finitely or countably many irreducible components C_d of Cl_0 with $d \in \mathbb{N}$. For any pair of integers $d, d' \geq 0$ there is a positive integer which bounds the degree of the 0-cycle intersection of $\underline{\sigma}(B)$ and $\underline{\sigma'}(B)$ for any pair of sections $(\sigma, \sigma') \in (C_d \times C_{d'})(K)$. Given an integer $i \geq 0$, we call D_i the locally closed subscheme of Cl_0^2 consisting of the pairs of sections which intersect at a 0-cycle of degree i. The flattening stratification of $I \to \mathrm{Cl}_0^2$ is:

$$\mathrm{Cl}_0^2 = \bigsqcup_{i \geq 0} D_i \sqcup \Delta_0(\mathrm{Cl}_0),$$

For each $i \geq 0$ and each pair of positive integers $d, d' \geq 0$ $D_{i,d,d'} := D_i \cap (C_d \times C_{d'})$ is either empty or an irreducible component of the stratum D_i. We call $\tilde{D}_{i,d,d'}$ the component of V isomorphic to $D_{i,d,d'}$.

Now assume for simplicity $C = \mathbb{P}^1$. For each $\sigma \in \mathrm{Cl}_0(K)$ the exceptional divisor of the fibre $(\mathcal{S}_1^0)_\sigma$ is a rational surface isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{\underline{\sigma}(\mathbb{P}^1)/\mathcal{S}}) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b))$, for some a, b in $\mathbb{Z}$, which is the Hirzebruch surface, $F_{|b-a|}$. If $e := b - a \geq 0$,

$$\mathrm{Pic}(E) = \mathbb{Z}[C] + \mathbb{Z}[F]$$

with $C^2 = -e$, $F^2 = 0$ and $CF = 1$. So, an irreducible curve linearly equivalent to $D = nC + mF$ intersects each fibre $\left((\mathcal{S}_1^0)_\sigma\right)_t$ at exactly one point, for each $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$, if and only if $1 = D \cdot F = n$. The curve D is the image of a section if and only if it is irreducible and $D = C + mF$ with $m = 0$ or $m \geq e$. The Usf X_E of $E \to \mathbb{P}^1$ has a component $(X_E)_m$ for each $m \geq e$. Since Cl_0^2 is locally Noetherian there are a finite number of $\tilde{D}_{i,d,d'}$ such that $(\sigma, \sigma) \in F(\tilde{D}_{i,d,d'}) = D_{i,d,d'}$. So, there are infinitely many $(X_E)_m$ with $F((X_E)_m) \subseteq \Delta_0(\mathrm{Cl}_0)$ filled up with sections of E that are not a limit of sections of some $D_{i,d,d'}$.

In each irreducible component of Cl_0 there is a locally closed subscheme $\mathcal{N}(a, b)$, for each possible pair of integers (a, b), formed by the sections σ with $\mathcal{N}_{\underline{\sigma}(\mathbb{P}^1)/\mathcal{S}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b)$. Over $\mathcal{N}(a, b)$, for each $m >> 0$, there is a family of rational quasiprojective varieties parametrizing all sections infinitely near to sections in $\mathcal{N}(a, b)$ and of class $C + mF$. These families form irreducible components of Cl_1.

Example 4.2. We will see that Cl_1 can be smaller than Cl_0. The phenomenon is due to the admissibility restriction on pairs, which does not exist on an absolute setting or when the base is a curve.

Consider $\pi : \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ the projection over the second factor. The scheme Cl_0 is an union of irreducible connected components C_d each one isomorphic to an open subscheme of $\mathbb{P}(K[u, v, w]_d^3)$, for $d \in \mathbb{N}$ the degree of the sections.

The following easy lemma is left to the reader.

Lemma 4.1. *Given $c = [P_1 : P_2 : P_3]$ and $d = [Q_1 : Q_2 : Q_3]$ two morphisms of $\mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$, with c non constant and $Z := \underline{c}(\mathbb{P}^2) \cap \underline{d}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ not equal to $\underline{c}(\mathbb{P}^2)$. Then, Z is not a Cartier divisor in $\underline{c}(\mathbb{P}^2)$.*

Corollary 4.1.1. *The only pairs of admissible sections of π are the constant ones.*

If $e \in \mathrm{Cl}_1$ and $F(e) = (c, d) \in \mathrm{Cl}_0^2$ with c a constant section, $\mathrm{Bl}_{\underline{c}(\mathbb{P}^2)}(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \cong \mathrm{Bl}_q(\mathbb{P}^2) \times \mathbb{P}^2$, for some $q \in \mathbb{P}^2$, and the exceptional divisor is isomorphic

to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$, which admits constant sections only. The cluster e must be constant, even it is infinitely near to c (e.i. if $c = d$). It is also possible to see that there are no other pairs of infinitely near sections, so

$$\mathrm{Cl}_1 = \mathrm{Bl}_{\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^2}}(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2).$$

Example 4.3. Let us now illustrate, with an explicit computation in a particular case of example I, that the centre ideal of a blow up ($F|_Z$ in the notation of Theorem 3.10) need not be the ideal sheaf of $\Delta_r(\mathrm{Cl}_r) \cap F(Z)$. Note also that in this case the centre ideal is not uniquely determined, although the blow up map is. This can happen because of the singularities in $F(Z)$.

Given a line R in $\mathbb{P}^3$, choose coordinates $[x : y : z : t]$ such that $R = V(x, y)$. We are interested in the family given by the pencil of planes $\{\alpha y - \beta x = 0\}$, where $[\alpha : \beta] \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and whose total space is $\mathcal{S} := \mathrm{Bl}_R(\mathbb{P}^3)$.

Any line in $\mathbb{P}^3$ which does not meet R determines a section of π. There is an open subscheme U_1 of Cl_0 isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^4$ in such a way that each point $(a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{A}^4$ is mapped to the line

$$L_{(a,b,c,d)} := V \begin{pmatrix} ax + by + z \\ cx + dy + t \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathcal{S}.$$

In this example we consider all the objects of Section 3 restricted over U_1 instead of the whole Cl_0. The morphism $\rho : U_1 \times U_1 \times B \to U_1 \times \mathcal{S}$ is

$$\rho\left((a, b, c, d), (a', b', c', d'), [u : v]\right) =$$

$$= \left((a, b, c, d), [u : v : -a'u - b'v : -c'u - d'v], [u : v]\right).$$

Let Y be $V((a-a')(d-d') - (b-b')(c-c')) \subset U_1 \times U_1$. Given $p \neq p' \in U_1$, the line L_p meets $L_{p'}$ if and only if $(p, p') \in Y$ and in this case they meet always at a simple point. The flattening stratification of the morphism $I \to U_1 \times U_1$ is

$$U_1 \times U_1 = \Delta_0(\mathrm{Cl}_0) \sqcup (Y \setminus \Delta_0(\mathrm{Cl}_0)) \sqcup Y^c$$

We now focus on the irreducible component Z of Cl_1 dominating the stratum $Y \setminus \Delta_0(\mathrm{Cl}_0)$. In fact, $F(Z) = Y$ is a singular quadric in $\mathbb{A}^8$, with singular locus $\Delta_0(\mathrm{Cl}_0)$.

If $[\nu : \mu]$ are the coordinates of a $\mathbb{P}^1$, the blow up $\mathcal{S}_1^0$ of $\mathcal{S}_0^0$ at $V(ax + by + z, cx + dy + t)$, the image of α_0^0, is

$$V\left(\mu(ax + by + z) - \nu(cx + dy + t)\right) \subseteq U_1 \times \mathcal{S} \times \mathbb{P}^1.$$

The morphism ρ restricted to the stratum $(Y \setminus \Delta_0(\mathrm{Cl}_0)) \times \mathbb{P}^1$ extends to $\mathcal{S}_1^0$. Over the coordinates $[\nu : \mu]$, it is $[a - a' : b - b']$ or $[c - c' : d - d']$. If both expressions are well defined, they are equal since $(a, b, c, d, a', b', c', d')$ belongs to Z.

If $[\omega : \eta]$ are coordinates of a $\mathbb{P}^1$, the blow up of $U_1 \times U_1$ at the ideal $(a - a', b - b')$ is

$$V(\eta(a - a') - \omega(b - b')) \subseteq U_1 \times U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1.$$

The strict transform $\tilde{Y}$ of Y under this blow up is a small resolution of Y. The morphism ρ extends over all $\tilde{Y} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathcal{S}_1^0$, over the coordinates $[\nu : \mu]$ it is just $[\omega : \eta]$. That implies $\tilde{Y} \cong Z$, because any two distinct points of $\tilde{Y}$ give two distinct sections of $\mathcal{S}_1^0$. Observe that the ideal is not unique: the ideal $(c - c', d - d')$ works too.

References

Alberich-Carramiñana, M. and Roé, J. (2005). Enriques diagrams and adjacency of planar curve singularities. *Canad. J. Math.*, 57(1):3–16.

Casas-Alvero, E. (2000). *Singularities of plane curves*, volume 276 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

De Poi, P. (2003). Threefolds in $\mathbb{P}^5$ with one apparent quadruple point. *Comm. Algebra*, 31(4):1927–1947.

Fernández de Bobadilla, J. (2005). Moduli spaces of polynomials in two variables. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 173(817):x+136.

Grothendieck, A. (1958-1960). Technique de descente et théorèmes d'existence en géométrie algébriques. ii. le théorème d'existence en théorie formelle des modules. *Séminaire Bourbaki*, 5:369–390.

Grothendieck, A. (1960-1961). Techniques de construction et théorèmes d'existence en géométrie algébrique. IV. Les schémas de Hilbert. *Séminaire Bourbaki*, 6:249–276.

Grothendieck, A. (1962). *Fondements de la géométrie algébrique. [Extraits du Séminaire Bourbaki, 1957–1962.]*. Secrétariat mathématique, Paris.

Harbourne, B. (1988). Iterated blow-ups and moduli for rational surfaces. In *Algebraic geometry (Sundance, UT, 1986)*, volume 1311 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 101–117. Springer, Berlin.

Hartshorne, R. (1977). *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.

Kleiman, S. L. (1981). Multiple-point formulas. I. Iteration. *Acta Math.*, 147(1-2):13–49.

Kleiman, S. L. (2005). The Picard scheme. In *Fundamental algebraic geometry*, volume 123 of *Math. Surveys Monogr.*, pages 235–321. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.

Kleiman, S. L. and Piene, R. (1999). Enumerating singular curves on surfaces. In *Algebraic geometry: Hirzebruch 70 (Warsaw, 1998)*, volume 241 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 209–238. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.

Kleiman, S. L. and Piene, R. (2004). Node polynomials for families: methods and applications. *Math. Nachr.*, 271:69–90.

Kleiman, S. L. and Piene, R. (2011). Enriques diagrams, arbitrarily near points, and Hilbert schemes. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl.*, 22(4):411–451. Appendix B by Ilya Tyomkin.

Nitsure, N. (2005). Construction of Hilbert and Quot schemes. In *Fundamental algebraic geometry*, volume 123 of *Math. Surveys Monogr.*, pages 105–137. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.

Paxia, G. (1991). On flat families of fat points. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 112(1):19–23.

Ran, Z. (2005). Geometry on nodal curves. *Compos. Math.*, 141(5):1191–1212.

Roé, J. (2001a). Conditions imposed by tacnodes and cusps. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 353(12):4925–4948 (electronic).

Roé, J. (2001b). On the existence of plane curves with imposed multiple points. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 156(1):115–126.

Roé, J. (2004). Varieties of clusters and Enriques diagrams. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 137(1):69–94.

Stacks Project Authors, T. (2015). *Stacks Project*.

Vakil, R. (2015). *The Rising Sea: Foundations Of Algebraic Geometry Notes*.